

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,048	06/04/2002	Gunter Ritter	6236-16-DCL	6731	
-	7590 09/26/2003				
Darryl C Little			EXAMINER		
Attorney for A Warner Lambe	er Company		LOVERING, I	LOVERING, RICHARD D	
201 Tabor Road Morris Plains, NJ 07950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, h	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/088,048	RITTER, GUNTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard D. Lovering	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	NE 420024 MAY 30,2003				
	nis action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) \(\sigma\) Claim(s) \(\frac{1}{1} \) is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)区 Claim(s) <u>(f)</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

- 1. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 10 has not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Exparte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the

feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1-8 recite the broad recitation vitamins, and the claims also recite, especially water soluble vitamins of the B series which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 9 is indefinite as to scope and an improper dependent claim in reciting "nicotinic acid amide, panthenol and/or biotin" as well as the B vitamins, and thus not further limiting claims 1 or 2.

Claims 1-9 are indefinite and fail to properly point out the invention in not reciting (as they should) the amounts of the components.

4. Claim 9 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. See the rationale in the preceding paragraph, third part.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokura JP 61-96959 in view of Tetra Werke DE 29617181 and/or Baensch DE 19704953. (Abstract, etc.) discloses a water treatment composition containing a) Fe citrate, b) citric acid, as a water soluble Nfree biologically decomposable organic compound, c) Ca lactate, as an alkaline earth metal salt, and e) Mn and Cu as trace elements or thiamine (vitamin B) and folic acid (vitamin B9). While the water treatment composition of Tokura may not contain Mg and/or Al-citrate, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to incorporate one or both of $M_{\mathbb{P}}$ and Al-citrate into the water treatment composition of Tokura to contribute their pH stabilizing and/or buffering effects in view of the teachings of Tetra Werke (page 11, taken with page 7, lines 5-11) or Baensch (column 3, lines 24-37). The use of known additives for their

known functions lacks patentable significance. See <u>In re</u>
<u>Sussman</u>, 554 O.G. 17; 1943 CD 518.

- 7. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record doesn't disclose or fairly suggest the water treatment agent of claim 5 herein which uses the combination of citric acid, tartaric acid, and saccharose as component c).
- 9. Applicant should insert the following sentence on page 1 of the specification between the title and line 1: --This application is a 371 of PCT/EP 00/07981 filed August 16, 2000.--
- 10. The remaining references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 and Form PTO-892 are cumulative to the references applied herein, and/or further show the state of the art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lovering whose telephone number is (703) 308-0443. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit 1712

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R. Lovering:cdc September 17, 2003

Richard D. Lovering
RICHARD D. LOVERING
PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1200 1700